# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

## **PCT**

#### **NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION**

(PCT Rule 61.2)

 					 _	_
				_		
_			_	_		

Commissioner
US Department of Commerce
United States Patent and Trademark
Office, PCT
2011 South Clark Place Room
CP2/5C24
Arlington, VA 22202

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

O2 May 2001 (02.05.01)

International application No.

PCT/GB00/03239

ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE
in its capacity as elected Office

Applicant's or agent's file reference
P007482WO

International filing date (day/month/year)
18 August 2000 (18.08.00)

P007482WO

Priority date (day/month/year)
20 August 1999 (20.08.99)

Applicant

JHOOTI, Permjit et al

	X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:
	10 March 2001 (10.03.01)
	in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:
2.	The election X was
l	was not
	made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under
	Rule 32.2(b).
-	
ľ	

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Zakaria EL KHODARY

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

P07482V	_	ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
		lication No.	International filing date (day/month	h/year) Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/GB			18/08/2000	20/08/1999
Internations G01R33		ent Classification (IPC) o	or national classification and IPC	
• •	Colle	ege Innovations Lim	ited	
			camination report has been prepared according to Article 36.	d by this International Preliminary Examining Author
2. This I	REPC	PRT consists of a tota	l of 7 sheets, including this cover sl	heet.
b	een a	amended and are the		ne description, claims and/or drawings which have containing rectifications made before this Authority cons under the PCT).
These	∍ ann	exes consist of a tota	l of sheets.	
3. This r	eport	contains indications	relating to the following items:	
1	$\boxtimes$	Basis of the report		
11		•	•	
Ш				ventive step and industrial applicability
IV		Lack of unity of inve		
V	⊠	Reasoned statement citations and explan	nt under Article 35(2) with regard to relations suporting such statement	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
VI		Certain documents	· •	
VII	$\boxtimes$	Certain defects in th	e international application	
1/10	$\boxtimes$	Certain observations	s on the international application	
VIII				
	missio	on of the demand	Date of c	completion of this report
		n of the demand	Date of c	
Date of sub	01 mailing exami	on of the demand g address of the internationing authority:	16.11.20	





## I. Basis of the report

1.	the and	receiving Office in I	nents of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" of this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):
	1-2	0	as originally filed
	Cla	ims, No.:	
	1-1	1	as originally filed
	Dra	wings, sheets:	
	1-1	1	as originally filed
2.			uage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	se elements were a	vailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a t	ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of pu	blication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a t 55.2 and/or 55.3).	ranslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule
3.	With inte	n regard to any <b>nuc</b> l rnational preliminary	leotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the int	ernational application in written form.
		filed together with t	he international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the international ap	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in plication as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that listing has been fur	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence nished.
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:



		the drawings,	sheets:		
5.					ome of) the amendments had not been made, since they have bee as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):
		(Any replacement shereport.)	eet contai	ning such	amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this
6.	Add	litional observations, if	necessar	<b>y</b> :	
V.		soned statement un tions and explanatio			ith regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
1.	Stat	ement			
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	8,9 1-7,10,11
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-11
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-11
2.		tions and explanations separate sheet	<b>.</b>		

#### VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

## VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet



## **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

#### ad VII:

The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (e.g., document D1, see below) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

## ad V and VIII:

- Claims 1, 10 and 11 1.)
  - Claim 1 and, likewise, the other independent claims 10 and 11 would appear to satisfy neither the requirements of Article 33 PCT nor those of Article 6 PCT for the following reasons (only claim 1 is specifically considered below).
- Claim 1, due to its extremely broad scope which covers far more than the PAWS 1.1 technique presented in the description, plainly reads onto the prior art. For instance, in the apparatus disclosed in document D1 (= Magn.Res.Med. 41, 1999, 148-155), too, each line of imaging data is classified into one of a plurality of groups of lines in dependence upon the position of the diaphragm during the breathing cycle, and each group of lines corresponds to one of a plurality of contiguous ranges of position of the diaphragm (see D1, e.g., fig. 2 and the corresponding description). Furthermore, in the apparatus according to D1, too, the scan is terminated when "two or more", i.e. a plurality of, groups of lines corresponding to contiguous ranges of position together contain sufficient data for the reconstruction of an image.
  - It is noted that the broad scope of claim 1 covers also other conventional techniques, like for instance the ROPE technique.
- 1.2 Moreover, the scope of claim 1 includes also techniques which clearly do not provide the desired technical effect. For instance, the few features that are mentioned in claim 1 by no means guarantee that there is phase ordering (the "P" in "PAWS"), that there is an optimized sequential data acquisition in each bin, that the size of each bin is chosen such as to avoid motion artifacts, that the number of bins is not chosen such that the benefits of automatic window selection (the



"AWS" in "PAWS") are entirely lost or that the scan becomes completely inefficient, etc...

- 1.3 Basically, the problem with claim 1 (and the other independent claims) is that many of the essential details which distinguish the PAWS technique from related techniques have been omitted from claim 1 so that the apparatus claimed in claim 1 no longer reflects the characteristics of the PAWS technique. As a consequence also, claim 1 is not supported by the description. For instance, in the preferred 3bin embodiment according to the description, the scan is terminated when exactly three adjacent bins (or "groups of lines") together contain sufficient data for an image, neither "two" nor "more" than three. This is not at all evident from claim 1: the formulation "two or more groups of lines" includes even the possibility that the scan is terminated only after all of the bins have been filled with a predetermined amount of lines, like for instance in the ROPE technique.
- 1.4 There are also other inconsistencies between claim 1 (as well as the other independent claims) and the description. For instance, the alternative embodiments mentioned on page 11, line 18 - page 12, line 7, page 12, lines 9-18 and page 13, lines 12-16, respectively, of the description would not appear to come within the scope of the claims.
- 1.5 Furthermore, the formulation of claim 1 as such contains obscurities. For instance, it is not clear how the "plurality of groups of lines" comes about when it is sufficient to recover a single line ("at least one line") of imaging data. Furthermore, since a low resolution image can in principle be derived from any number of lines in kspace (even from a single line) the purpose and functioning of the scan terminating logic is obscure.
- 1.6 It thus appears that the independent claims, in order for them to satisfy the requirements of both Article 6 and Article 33 PCT, should be thoroughly amended so as to clearly reflect the features of the PAWS technique.

#### 2.) Claim 8

The formulation "when a line of imaging data can be selected to be acquired on either side of k-space corresponding to two different groups of lines" is obscure



since it is not apparent whereto the expression "corresponding to two different groups of lines" refers.

- 3.) Assessment of the dependent claims with respect to novelty/inventive step The additional features of claims 2-7 would appear to be known from the prior art referred to above at point 1 as well, whereas the additional features of claims 8 and 9 would appear at least to lack an inventive step with respect to this prior art. However, since it appears possible to render the subject-matter of the independent claims novel and inventive (see above at point 1 and below at point 4) no purpose is seen in elaborating the objections against the dependent claims in any detail.
- 4.) Assessment of the claims with respect to novelty and inventive step assuming that they have been amended such as to overcome the above objections

Document D1, which is considered to represent the closest prior art, discloses one approach for coping with artifacts in MR images due to respiration and, more specifically, due to changes in the respiratory pattern during an MRI scan. One drawback of this approach is that it relies on the use of a weighted acceptance window. Another one is that it requires the change of gating data in real time on the basis of a continuously updated motion histogram.

The problem facing the invention therefore consisted in developing a technique which allows MRI scans to complete in the shortest possible time, but avoids the drawbacks of the closest prior art.

This problem is solved by the apparatus according to claim 1 (after amendments), the method according to claim 10 (after amendments) and the computer program medium according to claim 11 (after amendments) using an approach in which each motional position is regarded as equally relevant to the final image. The realization that it is possible to devise a data acquisition scheme which enables scans to complete within the shortest possible time but does not rely on operator intervention, nor on the use of a weighted acceptance window, is considered to involve an inventive step.



International application No. PCT/GB00/03239

## **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

Neither D1 nor any of the other documents cited in the search report contains any suggestion that would have allowed a person of ordinary skill in the art to arrive art the PAWS technique according to the present invention. For instance, both MRM 41 (1999) 555-562 and MRM 38 (1997) 322-333 describe techniques which rely on the selection of the most probable motion state by the operator.

Thus, claims 1-11 would probably satisfy all the requirements of Article 33 PCT if they were amended such as to truly reflect the PAWS technique presented in the description.



## **INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.						
P007482W0	ACTION (1911117 STITLE)					
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/GB 00/03239	PCT/GB 00/ 03239 18/08/2000 20/08/1999					
Applicant						
Imperial College Innovati	ons Limited					
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Autlansmitted to the International Bureau.	nority and is transmitted to the applicant				
This International Search Report consists of a total of4 sheets.  X It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.						
Basis of the report						
	international search was carried out on the barless otherwise indicated under this item.	sis of the international application in the				
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	vas carried out on the basis of a translation of t	he international application furnished to this				
b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:  contained in the international application in written form.						
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readble form.						
	the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
the statement that the info	ormation recorded in computer readable form i	s identical to the written sequence listing has been				
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box I).					
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box II).	•				
4. With regard to the <b>title</b> ,	•					
the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.					
I <u>—</u>	hed by this Authority to read as follows:					
PHASE ORDERING WITH A	UTOMATIC WINDOW SELECTION (	PAWS) FOR MOTION RESISTANT MRI				
5. With regard to the abstract,						
the text is approved as su the text has been establis within one month from the	abmitted by the applicant. whed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authoric adate of mailing of this international search rep	ity as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, port, submit comments to this Authority.				
6. The figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be pub	lished with the abstract is Figure No.	2				
as suggested by the appl		None of the figures.				
because the applicant fail						
because this figure better	characterizes the invention.					

International application No.

Box III TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of Item 5 of the first sheet)

A magnetic imaging technique which is resistant to changes in breathing whilst allowing the use of phase ordering to provide effective motion artefact reduction in an optimal time. This is provided by apparatus for magnetic resonance imaging a target object subject to periodic motion, comprising a magnetic resonance imaging scanner for exciting said target object and recovering imaging date in k-space; a sensor for detecting a signal indicative of a position of said target object; classifying logic for classifying sait at least one line of imaging data into one of a plurality of groups of lines of imaging date in dependence upon said position detected by said sensor as said target object was excited, each group of lines corresponding to one of a plurality of contiguous ranges of position and scan terminating logic for detecting when two or more groups of lines corresponding to contiguous ranges of position together containing a set of lines of imaging date spanning k-shape from which an image can be derived.

## **INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

internatio	nal	Application N	0
T/G	В	00/03239	)

		/GB 00	/ 03239	
A. CLASSII IPC 7	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER G01R33/567			
— <u> </u>	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classific	ation and IPC		
	SEARCHED			
IPC 7	cumentation searched (classification system followed by classificati $G01R$	on symbols)		
Documentat	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	such documents are included in the fields so	earched	
EPO-In	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data ba	ise and, where practical, search terms used	<b>()</b>	
C. DOCUME	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	<del></del>		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re-	levant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SINKUS R ET AL: "MOTION PATTERN ADAPTED REAL-TIME RESPIRATORY GATING" MAGNETIC RESONANCE IN MEDICINE, US, ACADEMIC PRESS, DULUTH, MN, vol. 41, no. 1, 1999, pages 148-155, XP000799744 ISSN: 0740-3194 see chapters 'MAG Algorithm' and 'Extension of the MAG Algorithm'		1-11		
Α	P.JH00TI ET AL.: "3D Coronary Artery Imaging With Phase Reordering for Improved Scan Efficiency" MAGNETIC RESONANCE IN MEDICINE, vol. 41, 1999, pages 555-562, XP002149700 cited in the application see chapter 'Materials and Methods'		1-11	
X Furth	ner documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed	in annex.	
, i	tegories of cited documents :	"T" later document published after the inte or priority date and not in conflict with	the application but	
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  "P" document published prior to the international filing date but		cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.  "&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international se	arch report	
	0 October 2000	30/10/2000		
Name and mailing address of the ISA  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk  Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016		Authorized officer  Lersch, W		

1

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

0./0	AND DOCUMENTS CONSTRUCTORS DELEVANT	748 00703239
C.(Continue Category °	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDENCED TO BE RELEVANT  Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
~ .		
A ,	WEIGER M ET AL: "MOTION-ADAPTED GATING BASED ON K-SPACE WEIGHTING FOR REDUCTION OF RESPIRATORY MOTION ARTIFACTS" MAGNETIC RESONANCE IN MEDICINE, US, ACADEMIC PRESS, DULUTH, MN, vol. 38, no. 2, 1 August 1997 (1997-08-01), pages 322-333, XP000695508 ISSN: 0740-3194 cited in the application see chapter 'Method'	1-11
· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	en men ministration de l'entre de la company de la comp	

1